Available online at <u>www.vurup.sk/pc</u> Petroleum & Coal <u>49</u> (3), 36-40, 2007

CATALYTIC OXIDATIVE COUPLING OF METHANE – EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

A. Karimi^{*}, R. Ahmadi, H. R. Bozorg Zadeh, A. Jebreili Jolodar, A. Barkhordarion

Research Institute of Petroleum Industry, P.O.Box 18745-4163, Tehran, Iran, Email: <u>karimial@ripi.ir</u>

Received August 7, 2007, accepted October 15, 2007

Abstract

In this research, the effect of operating conditions, such as GHSV, temperature and CH_4/O_2 ratio was investigated on the catalytic performance of OCM in a Fixed-Bed reactor at pressure of 3 barg. 50 gr of catalyst was loaded in a stainless steel reactor. Heat control in OCM is very important. With attention to this fact series of experiment were designed to investigate the influence of above-mentioned parameters on C_2^+ selectivity, CH4 conversion and the yield of C_2^+ . The C_2^+ selectivity of 57.3% was obtained at a CH₄ conversion of 20.3% at 840°C, 1620 hr ⁻¹GHSV and CH₄/O₂ ratio 4 to 1 and pressure of 3 barg. The OCM reaction is strongly dependent on the operating condition particularly GHSV and the CH₄/O₂ ratio. The comparison of these results and micro reactor in literature, show that the scale up of fixed bed reactor in OCM reaction without too much change in yield and selectivity of C_2^+ , CH₄ conversion and CO_x selectivity, with an appropriate GHSV, CH₄/O₂ ratio is technically feasible and economic.

Key words: OCM, stainless steel, C2⁺ Selectivity, Yield, Ethylene, Natural gas conversion

1. Introduction

Catalytic oxidative coupling of methane (OCM) is a promising catalytic process for direct natural gas conversion to ethylene, which is used as an important row material in the production of petrochemicals and liquid fuels. A great deal of attention has been focused on this reaction since the early work of Keller and Bhasin in 1982^[1], and several reviews have been written since then ^[2,3]. Most researchers focused their attention on the OCM at atmospheric pressure, while there have been fewer studies on the OCM at elevated pressures $^{[4-7]}$. One of the most effective catalysts for the OCM is Mn/Na₂WO₄/SiO₂, which has demonstrated stability for long periods of time under different reaction conditions ^[7]. Methane is currently available in large quantities from natural gas, anaerobic digestion of organic material, and chemical processing sources. Besides tremendous research work in catalyst development, a lot of investigations dealt with reaction engineering aspect in order to make this process technically feasible and economic, which is the objective of this paper. Various aspects as reaction kinetics, reactor selection and different modes of reactor operation are analyzed with the aim to identify reaction engineering means which can be used to maximize C2⁺ selectivity and yield. Particularly, available kinetic models for reaction engineering applications are revised and the importance of various reaction steps, also pre- and post catalytic reactions is analyzed. Since the OCM is a complex reaction network of parallel and consecutive, heterogeneous and homogeneous reaction steps the selectivity to C2⁺ hydrocarbons strongly depends on the reaction conditions. Reaction conditions such as GHSV, temperature and CH_4/O_2 ratio play an important role on the catalytic performance of OCM ^[8]. Central issue in development of OCM process is the choice of the type of reactor. In this work possible design of a fixed bed reactor with ability to control the OCM reaction temperature during catalyst bed, best C2⁺ selectivity and ethylene yield was achieved in mini pilot scale units. Furthermore, the possibility to improve catalytic performance by applying distributed feed of oxygen is discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1 Catalyst preparation

A catalyst containing 2 %wt Mn and 5 %wt Na₂WO₄ on SiO₂ (Mn/ Na₂WO₄/SiO₂) was prepared by incipient wetness impregnation using 20-40 mesh size Davison grade 57 silica gel. Ten ml of aqueous solution containing 0.7 g of Mn (NO₃)₂ were added to 5g of silica gel at 85°C. This material was then dried in air at 120°C for 5 h. After cooling to 25°C, 10 ml of solution containing 0.27 g of Na₂WO₄ was added to the solid. The catalyst was dried at 120°C for 24 h and was calcined in air at 800°C for 8 h.

2.2 Reactor system

Reaction was carried out at a total pressure of 3 barg in the differential mode using fixed-bed continuous vertical flow reactor constructed from a stainless steel tube (I.D=20 mm). A Ni/ Cr-Ni/Al thermocouple was positioned at the hottest part of the reactor, which was heated by a computer-controlled furnace. Another thermocouple was inserted into the center of the reactor for determining the temperature of the catalyst bed. The preheated reactant gas (methane and oxygen without diluents) was co-fed into the reactor. Their flow rates were controlled using mass flow controllers, Brooks (model 5580).

50g of catalysts were loaded into the reactor, and remaining space was filled with ceramic particles (10 mesh). The reactor is heated by furnace and the feed temperature raised up and fed to catalyst bed. When the activation energy of reaction prepared, the reaction takes place, the product passed through to condense water vapors a cold trap. After that the product gas is conducted to an online gas chromatograph (GC) to investigate the catalyst performance Figure 1.



(1) YT-2 Pressure controller, (2) Non-return valve, (3) Manometer, (4) Pressure valve, (5) YT-4 Pressure controller, (6) Trap, (7) Reactor.



3. Result and discussion

The experimental results of a series of GHSV and the ratio of methane to oxygen on the OCM are shown in Table 1. The C_2^+ selectivity of 57.3% was obtained at a CH₄ conversion of 20.3% at 840°C, 1620 hr⁻¹GHSV and CH₄/O₂ ratio equal 4 (run 2 in table 1). This is one of the few reported examples of favorable oxidative coupling behavior being achieved at such scale of reactor and catalyst loading. Also It shows that the Mn₂O₃ - Na₂WO₄ / SiO₂ catalyst is one of the most effective catalysts for the OCM reaction by optimum reaction conditions such as temperature, GHSV and CH₄ / O₂ ratio.

TEST	GHSV	CH ₄ /O ₂	CH4	C ₂ ⁺	Yield	CO _x	C ₂ H ₄	C_2H_6
No.	(1/hr)	Ratio	con.(%)	Sel.	C ₂ +	Sel.	Sel.	Sel.
1	1320	3	22.90	50.04	11.46	49.96	36.38	13.67
5	1320	4	20.68	54.06	11.18	45.94	36.04	18.02
9	1320	5	4.96	45.75	2.27	54.25	22.61	23.14
13	1320	6	1.94	37.32	0.72	62.68	14.35	22.97
6	1620	3	21.00	53.00	11.13	47.00	39.85	13.14
2	1620	4	20.28	57.31	11.62	42.69	41.32	15.99
14	1620	5	5.30	36.74	1.95	63.26	18.06	18.68
10	1620	6	3.35	25.07	0.84	74.93	15.02	10.05
11	1920	3	16.04	67.07	10.76	32.93	51.10	15.97
15	1920	4	14.90	71.27	10.62	28.73	52.03	19.24
3	1920	5	10.02	57.93	5.79	42.07	39.87	18.07
7	1920	6	7.40	26.96	2.00	73.04	11.64	15.32
16	2220	3	12.59	67.20	8.46	32.80	51.31	15.88
12	2220	4	12.58	72.83	9.16	27.17	53.96	18.87
8	2220	5	8.11	40.12	3.25	59.88	21.84	18.28
4	2220	6	6.12	27.11	1.66	72.89	19.02	8.09

Table 1- Catalytic performance of Mn₂O₃ - Na₂WO₄ / SiO₂, P=3 barg

The dependence of the Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2 catalyst's performance on the CH_4/O_2 ratio is shown in figure 2.1 and 2.2. Increasing the CH_4/O_2 ratio leads to a decrease in CH_4 conversion and C_2^+ and C_2H_4 selectivity but an increase in CO_x selectivity. C_2H_6 selectivity first increases and then decreases. These results demonstrate that the reaction:

$$CH_4 + \frac{1}{4}O_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}C_2H_6 + \frac{1}{2}H_2O$$

$$\Delta G_{298}^{o} \frac{Kj}{mol} = -79.75$$

In compare with the reaction:

$$CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}C_2H_4 + H_2O$$
 $\Delta G_{298}^{o} \frac{Kj}{mol} = -143.88$

Has lower activation energy and occurred very faster than another one and consumes a lot of oxygen. So in CH₄/O₂ ratio from 3-5 the rate of C₂H₄ production decreases and the rate of C₂H₆ production increases and no extra oxygen is available for dehydration C₂H₆ to C₂H₄ due to following reaction:

$$C_2H_6 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4 + H_2O$$

At the same time the combustion reaction, which occurs at gas phase and take place before CH_4 and O_2 contact the surface of the catalyst, consumed CH_4 and O_2 to produce CO_x , These reactions are:

$$CH_4 + \frac{3}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2O$$
 $CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$

With increasing CH_4/O_2 ratio from 3-5 and increasing the methane with respect to oxygen, a lot of oxygen is consumed in combustion reaction and the C_2H_4 selectivity and production rate decreases and selectivity for CO_x and C_2H_6 increases. In CH_4/O_2 ratio from 5-6 the rate and selectivity of C_2H_6 is decreased due to low concentration of oxygen available for these reactions.



Figure 2.1. Dependence of Selectivity on the $CH_4/O2$ ratio for the OCM over Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2 catalyst (Reaction condition: T=840°C, GHSV=1320 hr -1, P= 3 barg)



Figure 2.2. Dependence of Yield the CH_4/O_2 ratio for the OCM over Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2 catalyst (Reaction condition: T=840°C, GHSV=1320 hr⁻¹, P=3 barg)

The dependence of the $Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2$ catalyst's performance on the GHSV is shown in figure 3. Increasing the GHSV from 1320 to 1920 hr⁻¹ leads to an increase in C_2^+ selectivity, a decrease in methane conversion and CO_x selectivity. A cause of such result is that the combustion reaction took place before CH_4 and O_2 contact the surface of the catalyst at lower GHSV, and the contribution of the combustion reaction to methane conversion is limited under the higher ratio of CH_4 and O_2 . When GHSV increases from 1920 to 2220 hr⁻¹, C_2^+ selectivity is decreased abruptly with an obvious increase in CO_x selectivity. The observations point out that the homogeneous reaction in the gas phase may occur when the residence time in the catalyst bed is too short for the catalytic reaction on the catalyst surface to take place. One of the manifestations of this role of CO_x is the effect of residence time on selectivity. Usually, in oxidation catalysis, the conversion increases, but selectivity to a partial oxidation product decreases as the residence time in the catalyst bed increases. However, in the oxidative coupling of methane it is often found that short residence times result in low C_2^+ selectivity ^{[2].} As a result of this effect, the fact that the competition between the combustion reaction in the gas phase and the catalytic reaction on the surface of the catalyst is controlled by adjusted reaction conditions.



Figure 3. Dependence of catalytic activity, Selectivity and Yield on the GHSV for the OCM reaction over $Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2$ catalyst (Reaction condition: T=840°C, CH₄/O₂= 4, P=3 barg)



Figure 4. Effect of temperature on the OCM reaction over $Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2$ catalyst (Reaction condition: GHSV=1320 hr⁻¹, CH₄/O₂= 4, P= 3 barg)

The effect of temperature on the performance of the $Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2$ catalyst is shown in figure 4. Elevating the temperature from 780 to 840°C causes C_2^+ selectivity and CH_4 conversion to increase, while the CO_x selectivity decreases. With elevation of temperature from 840 to 860°C, C_2^+ selectivity and the variation rate of CH_4 conversion decreases and it is predicted that with increasing temperature CH_4 conversion decreases. These observations point out that the gas phase reaction become dominant when the OCM reaction performs at higher temperature.

4. Conclusion

The results here demonstrate that a mini-pilot scale stainless steel reactor system can be successfully utilized for the OCM to produce useful hydrocarbons. The $Mn_2O_3 - Na_2WO_4 / SiO_2$ catalyst exhibits excellent performance for the OCM reaction. A C_2^+ selectivity of 57.3% was obtained at a CH4 conversion of 20.3% at 840°C, 1320 hr ⁻¹GHSV and CH₄/O₂ ratio equal 4 and pressure of 3 barg. Comparison of present results and the micro reactor test show that the scale up of fixed bed catalyst system in OCM reaction for converting natural gas to valuable hydrocarbons, without any change in yield and selectivity C_2^+ , CH₄ conversion and CO_x selectivity due to combustion reaction, with an appropriate GHSV, CH₄/O₂ is technically feasible and economic.

References

- [1] G.E. Keller, M.M. Bhasin, J. Catal, 1982, 73: 9
- [2] J.H. Lunsford, Angew Chem Int Ed, 1995, 34: 970
- [3] S.B. Li, Chin J. Chem, 2001, 19(1): 16
- [4] C. Lingjun, J. of Natural Gas Chem, 2002, 11: 131
- [5] D.J. Wang, M.P. rosynek, J.H. Lunsford, J. Catal, 1995, 155: 390
- [6] Pak Sergei, Qiu Ping, J.H. Lunsford, J. Catal, 1998, 179:222
- [7] X.P. Fang, S.B. Li, J.Z. Lin, J. Mol Catalyst (China), 1992, 6: 225
- [8] L. Meleczko, M. Baerns, Fuel Processing Tech, 1995, 42: 217